

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/199,874	11/24/1998	GINO V. SEGRE	00786071005	4165
7.	590 12/03/2001			
PAUL T. CLARK FISH & RICHARDSON 225 FRANKLIN STREET BOSTON, MA 021102804			EXAMINER	
			PAK, MICHAEL D	
BUSTUN, MA	. 021102804		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646 DATE MAILED: 12/03/2001	24

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/199,874**

Applicant(s)

Segre et al.

Examiner

Michael Pak

Art Unit **1646**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ration.
	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.	, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
- If NO		period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
- Failur - Any r	e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). It is mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 25, 2	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) 40-71	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims <u>40-71</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) [☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	re been received in Application No
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachm	ent(s)	
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application: 09/199,874 Page 2

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper
 No. 22 is acknowledged.

Preliminary amendment filed 25 September 2001 (Paper No. 23) has been entered.

The newly submitted claims in Paper No. 23 are drawn to different restriction groups.

Applicant elected neither of the two restriction groups set forth in the restriction mailed 18 December 2000 (Paper No. 17) and elected to prosecute method claims set forth in the new claims submitted in the amendment filed 21 February 2001 (Paper No. 19). Claims 1-39 have been canceled.

New restriction is set forth below.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 40-43, 52-54 drawn to a method of identifying a compound by binding an OK-H receptor polypeptide with a parathyroid hormone, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- II. Claims 44-47, drawn to a method of identifying a compound by binding a polypeptide with a parathyroid hormone related protein, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.

Application: 09/199,874 Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

III. Claims 48-51, drawn to a method of identifying a compound by binding a parathyroid hormone with a cell, classified in class 436, subclass 501.

- IV. Claims 40-43, 52-53, and 55, drawn to a method of identifying a compound by binding an OK-O receptor polypeptide with a parathyroid hormone, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- V. Claims 40-43, 52-53, and 56, drawn to a method of identifying a compound by binding a rat receptor polypeptide with a parathyroid hormone, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- VI. Claims 40-43, 52-53, and 57, drawn to a method of identifying a compound by binding a human receptor polypeptide with a parathyroid hormone, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- VII. Claims 58-60 and 71, drawn to a method for identifying a compound that inhibit binding an OK-H receptor polypeptide with a parathyroid hormone, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- VIII. Claims 58-59, 61, and 71, drawn to a method for identifying a compound that inhibit binding an OK-O receptor polypeptide with a parathyroid hormone, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- IX. Claims 58-59, 62, and 64-71, drawn to a method for identifying a compound that inhibit binding a rat receptor polypeptide with a parathyroid hormone, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.

Art Unit: 1646

X. Claims 58-59, 63 and 71, drawn to a method for identifying a compound that inhibit binding a human receptor polypeptide with a parathyroid hormone, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The methods of inventions I-X, are distinct, each from the other, because they are drawn to processes having materially different process steps, which are practiced for materially different purposes.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their different classifications and the search required for any one of inventions I-X is not required for any other invention I-X, thus, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must

Application: 09/199,874

Art Unit: 1646

be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pak, whose telephone number is (703) 305-7038. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 2:00 PM.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Michael D. PAK Michael D. Pak

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1646 30 November 2001